

Refractive error

EDITORIAL

Uncorrected refractive error: the major and most easily avoidable cause of vision loss



Brien A Holden

Executive Chair, International Centre for Eyecare Education; Chair, WHO Refractive Error Working Group; Scientia Professor, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia.

In the late 1990s, two papers from very different parts of the world, Australia and India, highlighted the fact that uncorrected refractive error was a significant cause of blindness and the major cause of impaired vision.^{1,2} Since then, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness (IAPB), both separately and in their joint initiative, VISION 2020: The Right to Sight, have worked very hard to put uncorrected refractive error on the blindness prevention agenda and to develop strategies for the elimination of this most simple avoidable cause of vision loss. They have been joined in these efforts by international non-governmental development organisations with expertise or programmes in this field, such as the International Centre for Eyecare Education (ICEE), Sightsavers International (SSI), Christian Blind Mission (CBM), Helen Keller International (HKI), and the World Council of Optometry (WCO).

WHO revealed the magnitude of the

Editorial continues over page ►



A patient undergoes refraction. INDIA

Dhivya Ravilla

IN THIS ISSUE

EDITORIAL

- 37 **Uncorrected refractive error: the major and most easily avoidable cause of vision loss**
Brien A Holden

ARTICLES

- 40 **Presbyopia: prevalence, impact, and interventions**
Illesh Patel and Sheila K West
- 42 **Delivering refractive error services: primary eye care centres and outreach**
Kovin Naidoo and Dhivya Ravilla

- 45 **Making refractive error services sustainable: the International Eye Foundation model**
Raheem Rahmathullah, John Barrows, and Victoria M Sheffield

- 47 **Sourcing acceptable spectacles**
Reshma Dabideen, Hasan Minto, and Kovin Naidoo

- 48 **Training to meet the need for refractive error services**
Hannah Faal and M Babar Qureshi

HOW TO ...

- 52 **Test distance vision using a Snellen chart**
Sue Stevens

EXCHANGE

- Community perceptions on refractive errors in Pakistan
- Experiences with optical centres in West Africa
- A public-private partnership to provide spectacles for Timor-Leste

USEFUL RESOURCES

NEWS AND NOTICES



The journal is produced in
collaboration with the
World Health Organization



Volume 20 | Issue 63 | September 2007

Editor

Elmien Wolvaardt Ellison

Editorial Committee

Dr Nick Astbury
Professor Allen Foster
Dr Clare Gilbert
Dr Murray McGavin
Dr Ian Murdoch
Dr GVS Murthy
Dr Daksha Patel
Dr Richard Wormald
Dr David Yorston

Regional Consultants

Dr Grace Fobi (Cameroon)
Professor Gordon Johnson (UK)
Dr Susan Lewallen (Tanzania)
Dr Wanjiku Mathenge (Kenya)
Dr Joseph Enyegue Oye (Francophone Africa)
Dr Babar Qureshi (Pakistan)
Dr Yuliya Semenova (Kazakhstan)
Dr BR Shamanna (India)
Professor Hugh Taylor (Australia)
Dr Andrea Zin (Brazil)

Advisors

Dr Liz Barnett (Teaching and Learning)
Catherine Cross (Infrastructure and Technology)
Dr Pak Sang Lee (Ophthalmic Equipment)
Sue Stevens (Ophthalmic Nursing and Teaching Resources)

Administration

Anita Shah (Editorial/Administrative Assistant)

Editorial Office

Community Eye Health Journal
International Centre for Eye Health
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,
Keppel Street, London, WC1E 7HT, UK.

Tel: +44 (0)207 612 7964/72

Fax: +44 (0)207 958 8317

Email: anita.shah@lshtm.ac.uk

Information Service

Jenni Sandford
Email: jenni.sandford@lshtm.ac.uk

Printing

Newman Thomson

Online Edition (www.jceh.co.uk)

Sally Parsley
Email: admin@jceh.co.uk

The *Community Eye Health Journal* is published four times a year and **sent free to developing country applicants**. French, Chinese, Spanish, and Indian editions are also available. Please send details of your name, occupation, and postal address to the *Community Eye Health Journal*, at the address above. Subscription rates for applicants elsewhere: one year UK £50; three years UK £100. Send credit card details or an international cheque/banker's order made payable to London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine to the address above.

Website

Back issues are available at

www.jceh.co.uk

Content can be downloaded in both HTML and PDF formats.

© International Centre for Eye Health, London
Articles may be photocopied, reproduced or translated provided these are not used for commercial or personal profit. Acknowledgements should be made to the author(s) and to *Community Eye Health Journal*. Graphics on pages 40, 42 and 47 by Teresa Dodgson, all others by Victoria Francis.
ISSN 0953-6833

The journal is produced in collaboration with the World Health Organization. Signed articles are the responsibility of the named authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the policies of the World Health Organization. The World Health Organization does not warrant that the information contained in this publication is complete and correct and shall not be liable for any damages incurred as a result of its use. The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

EDITORIAL Continued

problem on World Sight Day, October 12, 2006, when it released its findings about the prevalence of uncorrected refractive error: 153 million people are either blind or visually impaired due to uncorrected distance refractive error.

Commenting on the importance of uncorrected refractive error, the WHO Assistant Director General, Dr Catherine Le Gales-Camus, said: "These results reveal the enormity of the problem.

This common form of visual impairment can no longer be ignored as a target for urgent action." She also stressed the link between uncorrected refractive error and poverty: "Without appropriate optical correction, millions of children are losing educational opportunities and adults are excluded from productive working lives, with severe economic and social consequences. Individuals and families are frequently pushed into a cycle of deepening poverty because of their inability to see well."³

Adding the number of people who are blind and visually impaired due to uncorrected refractive error to those blind and visually impaired due to eye disease has virtually doubled the figures for the global burden of blindness and visual impairment (Table 1).

The categorisation of overall blindness and visual impairment related to eye disease into treatable and permanent vision loss is an important issue, but one for which definitive analyses and data are not yet available. In the interim, however, for planning purposes, it is important to try to estimate what might be the situation in each category. As outlined in Table 1, the estimates are as follows:

- 7 million people are totally blind, with no light perception
- 30 million are blind, with vision ranging from light perception to <3/60 in the better eye; half of these cases may be treatable (e.g. cataract)
- 124 million are visually impaired (vision <6/18 in the better eye) from eye disease; approximately half of these cases may be treatable, while the rest suffer from permanent visual impairment (i.e.

low vision).

With regards to the new figures for uncorrected refractive error released by WHO, it has been estimated that, of the 153 million affected by uncorrected distance refractive error:

- 8 million are blind
- 145 million have significant distance visual impairment.

In addition to the 153 million people with vision loss due to distance refractive error, there are hundreds of millions who have severe near vision impairment (near vision equivalent to <6/18 in the better eye) due to uncorrected presbyopia.

Though no definitive data are yet available

from WHO on global uncorrected presbyopia, estimates can be made of the range and magnitude of the problem. For example, papers published on uncorrected presbyopia in Africa⁴ and Asia⁵ show that in some countries up to 94 per cent of people with presbyopia have no vision correction at all. Those who cannot access an eye examination and receive spectacles may therefore number well over 500 million people.⁶ For the moment, ICEE is using a conservative planning figure of 150 million people with significant near visual impairment due to

'Refractive error can no longer be ignored as a target for urgent action'



Outreach clinic in Tongaat held the day after the World Congress on Refractive Error. SOUTH AFRICA

Jeeva Rajgopal, courtesy of ICEE

Table 1. Estimated number of blind and visually impaired people (including presbyopia)

		Number of people (millions)		
		Permanent vision loss	Correctable vision loss	Total
Vision loss due to eye disease				
Blind	No light perception	7	-	7
Blind	Vision from <3/60 to light perception in the better eye	15	15	30
Visually impaired	Vision from <6/18 to 3/60 in the better eye	62	62	124
Subtotal		84	77	161^b
Vision loss due to distance refractive error				
Blind	Vision from <3/60 to light perception in the better eye	-	8	8
Visually impaired	Vision <6/18 to 3/60 in the better eye	-	145	145
Subtotal		-	153	153^a
Vision loss due to near refractive error				
Visually impaired	Near vision equivalent to <6/18 in the better eye	-	>150	>150 ^b
Subtotal			>150	>150^b
Total		84	>380	>464^b

^a WHO figures

^b Those with presbyopia who cannot get access to spectacles may number over 500 million people

Uncorrected refractive error causes serious visual problems for children trying to learn and adults trying to work. The urgency of this problem and its unacceptability in today's world was partly the stimulus for the first World Congress on Refractive Error (WCRE) hosted by the ICEE in Durban, South Africa, in March 2007. The congress attracted 650 people from national and international government agencies, professional councils, and international non-governmental development organisations, who spent four days discussing every aspect of the problem of uncorrected refractive error, as well as potential solutions.

The congress resulted in the Durban Declaration,⁷ which was negotiated and unanimously endorsed by the 650 delegates. These included representatives of the World Council of Optometry (WCO), The International Council of Ophthalmology, IAPB, hosts ICEE, representatives of civil society, and regional and national government ministries and department representatives. The Director General of the Department of Health for South Africa, the honourable Mr Thami Mseleku, said that he was proud that South Africa was chosen as the site for the inaugural WCRE and observed that the congress was "making the world realise that this is a very important commitment to VISION 2020. The resolution shouldn't just remain on paper – we should talk about how to take it forward."

And that, of course, is the key: it has become essential to plan and fund the solution to uncorrected refractive error.

In the words of the Durban Declaration⁷:

"We call upon governments, professional bodies, manufacturers, suppliers, international organisations, and civil society to:

- make refractive services a priority
- support the development and deployment of appropriate human resources, infrastructure, and technology for the effective delivery of refractive services within the public sector
- rationalise the tariffs, duties, and taxes imposed on spectacles, equipment for refraction, and optical laboratory equipment
- support and facilitate organisations working towards the elimination of avoidable blindness."

The world must make every effort to meet the goals of VISION 2020 and eliminate uncorrected refractive error within the next 13 years. A tall order? Not really. ICEE has estimated (based on its own data from Africa, Timor-Leste, and Sri Lanka, as well as on data from the LV Prasad Eye Institute in India) that it will cost US \$1,500 million to give 300 million people access to an eye examination by a trained local eye care person and a pair of spectacles.

US \$1,500 million for 300 million people to see by 2020 is a small price to pay for eliminating the direct loss of opportunity and productivity due to uncorrected refractive error. Better yet, it will also eliminate the indirect costs of having sighted children with uncorrected refractive errors in programmes for the blind, of uncorrected myopic children failing at school unnecessarily, and of the older needlessly blind and visually impaired depending on society and the community. In total, a saving of many tens of billions of dollars.

Optometry Giving Sight, a joint initiative of IAPB, WCO, and ICEE, is galvanising optometry professionals and their patients

throughout the world into donating a substantial part of the total cost of 'giving sight'. This initiative has been successfully launched in five countries thus far.

The commitments needed to achieve the ultimate objective of VISION 2020: The Right to Sight for those with uncorrected refractive error, as outlined in the Durban Declaration, include: quantifying vision loss due to uncorrected presbyopia; undertaking the necessary advocacy, knowledge base development, and research needed to deliver best practice service in line with cultural needs; coordination and cooperation to develop a supply of affordable spectacles and the necessary human resources and infrastructure.

If the world cannot eliminate blindness and visual impairment by delivering spectacles to those in significant need, the world is in a sorry state. For that reason alone, we must cooperate, mobilise our resources, and make sure it happens – preferably before 2020.

References

- 1 Dandona L et al. Burden of moderate visual impairment in an urban population in southern India. *Ophthalmol* 1999;106: 487–504.
- 2 Taylor HR et al. Visual impairment in Australia: distance visual acuity, near vision, and visual field findings of the Melbourne Visual Impairment Project. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1997;123: 328–337.
- 3 WHO press release. Sight test and glasses could dramatically improve the lives of 150 million people with poor vision. Geneva. October 11, 2006.
- 4 Patel I et al. Impact of presbyopia on quality of life in a rural African setting. *Ophthalmol* 2006;113(5): 728–734.
- 5 Bourne RR et al. The Pakistan national blindness and visual impairment survey: research design, eye examination methodology and results of the pilot study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2005;12(5): 321–33.
- 6 Holden B et al. Vision impairment due to uncorrected presbyopia (in preparation).
- 7 The Durban Declaration: www.icee.org/pdf/Final_FINAL_Declaration.pdf