

GUEST EDITORIAL

Seeing beyond 2020: what next for refractive error care?

We are excited to share this Special Issue which is very timely following several recent milestones in eye health globally. First, on World Sight Day in 2019 the World Health Organization (WHO) published the inaugural World Report on Vision.¹ Then, 2020 marked the culmination of the Vision 2020 initiative, launched more than 20 years ago with the aim of eliminating avoidable blindness.² Finally, 2021 saw the publication of the first *Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health which included evidence that eye health interventions contribute to achieving several of the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).³ Amidst these milestones, the global reach of COVID-19 has affected and hindered eye care delivery. The true impact of this pandemic beyond 2020 has yet to be realised. In this Special Issue we take the opportunity provided by these milestones to look back on progress over the past decades and to look forward to the work still to be done, with a particular focus on refractive error.

Recent decades saw an increased awareness among global eye health stakeholders of the magnitude of refractive error as a cause of vision impairment globally. This is reflected in the revision of the International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) definition of blindness and vision impairment, which historically used best-corrected visual acuity but now uses presenting visual acuity.⁴ This change in definition recognised that the assumption that all people with refractive error have access to a correction does not hold true for many throughout the world. Concurrent with this ICD process, epidemiological surveys began to report vision impairment due to uncorrected refractive error, and we now have regular updates on the magnitude globally.

The latest estimates show that in 2020, an estimated 3.7 million people globally were blind (<3/60), and a further 157 million people had moderate or severe vision impairment (worse than 6/18 but better than or equal to 3/60) due to uncorrected refractive error. A further 510 million people had uncorrected presbyopia.^{5,6} Most of these people live in South, East and Southeast Asia.⁵ Beyond these people with uncorrected refractive error, there are hundreds of millions more whose refractive error is corrected, but they are not routinely measured in prevalence surveys. This will change in the next decade, as surveys begin to routinely collect uncorrected visual acuity in addition to corrected and pinhole visual acuity, allowing met need to be calculated.⁷

While the prevalence of vision impairment due to uncorrected refractive error has reduced over the last 30 years, a growing and aging population has meant that the number of people affected is increasing.⁵ Further, these gains have

not been shared equally, and in all regions of the world there are people unable to access the refractive care they need. This inequality and the projected population increase means that more of the same will be insufficient, and refractive error care must be advanced and strengthened in a myriad of ways, including strategies to detect refractive error, to prevent and treat myopia, and to deliver high quality, accessible, affordable services that meet the SDG aim to *leave no one behind*.

A large driver of the increase in people with uncorrected refractive error is the current myopia epidemic, impacting on individuals, society and health services.⁸⁻¹⁰ Given the large and growing magnitude of the prevalence of myopia¹¹ and its consequences,¹² myopia is the focus of many of the papers included in this issue. For example, Priscilla and Verkicharla predict a possible future epidemic in India, and call for anti-myopia strategies to become embedded in eye care services.¹³ One consequence of having a high level of myopia is the associated risk of developing ocular pathology. Gupta *et al.*¹⁴ investigate the progression of myopia and glaucoma in cases of juvenile onset glaucoma. Strategies to slow the progression of myopia include optical interventions,¹⁵ and the design of these interventions has the potential to influence a person's balance and walking. Przekoracka *et al.*¹⁶ assessed the impact of multifocal contact lenses on postural control on a cohort of young adults. They suggest that a high add may have detrimental effects on postural control. Whether this also occurs in children is unknown. From a study in Germany, Rauscher *et al.*¹⁷ report ocular biometry among 1,907 children and propose these parameters be used as a basis to assess eye growth and refractive error development in European children. Monitoring eye growth requires accurate, precise and repeatable technology. In a complementary study, Rauscher *et al.*¹⁸ contend that the Lenstar LS 900 is a feasible and reliable tool to monitor these biometry measurements. Chamberlain *et al.* report on axial elongation over 3 years among treated and untreated progressing myopes alongside emmetropic children, and conclude that axial elongation in optically-based myopia control treatments tracks that of normal eye growth in emmetropes. Insight into normal eye growth in children is a requisite to understanding expected axial eye growth in children with myopia and those in myopia-management intervention strategies.¹⁹ Truckenbrod *et al.*²⁰ have generated growth curves for children 3 to 18 years of age in Germany. They suggest that these growth curves can be used as a predictive measure for assessing the risk of myopia development and progression. Ultimately,

to predict and monitor eye growth better there is a need for population specific axial length growth curves along with accessible and affordable biometry instrumentation for eye care practitioners.

To maximise visual outcomes for children with refractive error, it is imperative to intervene early, and several papers in this issue explored screening and assessment of children. From a study in Aotearoa / New Zealand, Findlay *et al.*²¹ call for the Spot vision screener to be added to the current Parr vision test in the national programme to improve the sensitivity and specificity of amblyopia detection in 4-5 year olds. From the USA, Ciner *et al.*²² found that amongst 4-5 year old children without strabismus or amblyopia, visual acuity, accommodative lag and stereoacuity all reduced with increasing hyperopia; based on these findings, the authors call for near visual function to be routinely assessed in children with hyperopia. In India, Seelam *et al.*²³ report results from a realist evaluation of a school-based eye health programme with a target population of 2 million children. Their effort to unpack the complexity of their large programme to understand *how* and *why* they achieved their outcomes (or didn't), and the importance of context, is novel in eye health. To maximise benefit from School Eye Health programmes and create generalisable knowledge, we encourage researchers to more often assess and report what works, for which children and in what circumstances.

The epidemiological estimates outlined above are important to understand the scale of the problem, and to inform policies and plans globally, regionally and nationally. However, clinicians do not need these numbers to appreciate the impact of refractive error on patients encountered every day. Wood *et al.*²⁴ highlight the impact of even small amounts of blur on the ability of drivers to judge the walking direction of pedestrians at night. Patient-reported outcomes such as quality of life can be used to measure the impact of refractive error care, and their use alongside visual acuity takes us towards more patient-centred care, as was called for by the WHO in the World Report on Vision.¹ In this issue, Kandel *et al.*²⁵ have strengthened our ability to evaluate quality of life parameters following refractive error management, by identifying refractive error-specific item banks.

A well-trained workforce is essential to meet the growing need for refractive error care. Unfortunately, there is a massive maldistribution of optometrists globally, with 221 per million population in high-income countries, but only 1 per million population in low-income countries.³ The Covid-19 pandemic has created immense disruption to training programmes, and in this issue Naroo *et al.*²⁶ explore this in relation to contact lens education, highlighting the shift towards online teaching that is taking place globally. A positive clinical teaching outcome is the online model allowing greater accessibility of high-quality collaborative teaching across the world.

A key strategy to reduce the prevalence of uncorrected refractive error is to ensure that a good quality correction is accessible and affordable for all who require it. The extent to which this is achieved is measured by the *effective refractive error coverage* indicator (eREC),⁷ endorsed by WHO as one of two key indicators to monitor global eye health.¹ The recent *Lancet Global Health* Commission highlighted a dearth of information on the eREC, as well as evidence on strategies to improve access to refractive error care.³ In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Bist *et al.*²⁷ contribute to closing this evidence gap, reporting that the proportion of people discontinuing spectacle wear shortly after dispensing ranged between 1.6% and 3.0%, mostly due to a refraction error or miscommunication. While this proportion is relatively low, the authors highlight the limited contexts in which the five included studies were conducted—refractive error care may be of lower quality in other contexts. We call for much more research into how refractive error services can improve access to good quality, accessible and affordable correction.

This research should extend to the role of the private sector in meeting the massive need for refractive error care globally.²⁸ This year marks the 50th anniversary of the inverse care law (*below*). We challenge private sector actors, including national and multinational commercial entities, and their advocates to create partnerships with governments and establish other mechanisms to ensure refractive error care is designed and delivered so that no one is left behind.

The availability of good medical care tends to vary inversely with the need for it in the population served. This inverse care law operates more completely where medical care is most exposed to market forces, and less so where such exposure is reduced.

One strategy which would help the eye health sector commit to an equity-focused agenda is to have diverse leadership structures.²⁹ Yashadhana *et al.* highlight that we have a long way to go to have leaders that reflect the gender- and ethnic-diversity of the profession or the population. Currently only 1 in 3 board members of member organisations of the International Council of Ophthalmology and the World Council of Optometry are women, falling to 1 in 17 being a woman from an ethnic minority.³⁰

Refractive error represents a large and growing problem, with pervasive inequity nationally and globally. We must develop and strengthen technology and treatments to ensure that all aspects of refractive error care are high quality, accessible, affordable and timely for all. The COVID-19 pandemic has slowed our progress towards universal eye health. We must learn from the pandemic response to chart a collaborative global response to advance refractive error care and leave no one behind.

Author Contributions

J.R. and N.S.L. worked collaboratively to draft this editorial.

Jacqueline Ramke^{1,2} and Nicola S Logan³

¹School of Optometry and Vision Science, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

²International Centre for Eye Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

³School of Optometry, Aston University, Birmingham, UK
E-mail address: j.ramke@auckland.ac.nz

References

- World Health Organization. *World Report on Vision*, WHO: Geneva, 2019.
- World Health Organization. *Strategies for the Prevention of Blindness in National Programmes: a Primary Health Care Approach*, 2nd edn. World Health Organization: Geneva, 1997.
- Burton M, Ramke J, Marques AP *et al.* Lancet global health commission on global eye health: vision beyond 2020. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021; 9: E489–E551. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7966694/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00111-1) (Accessed 28/03/2021).
- World Health Organization. *ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems*, 5th edn. World Health Organization: Geneva, 2016.
- Adelson JD, Bourne RR, Briant PS *et al.* Causes of blindness and vision impairment in 2020 and trends over 30 years, and prevalence of avoidable blindness in relation to VISION 2020: the Right to Sight: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet Global Health* 2021; 9: e144–e160.
- Bourne R, Steinmetz JD, Flaxman S *et al.* Trends in prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment over 30 years: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet Global Health* 2021; 9: e130–e143.
- McCormick I, Mactaggart I, Bastawrous A, Burton MJ & Ramke J. Effective refractive error coverage: an eye health indicator to measure progress towards universal health coverage. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2020; 40: 1–5.
- Naidoo KS, Fricke TR, Frick KD *et al.* Potential lost productivity resulting from the global burden of myopia: systematic review, meta-analysis, and modeling. *Ophthalmology* 2019; 126: 338–346.
- Yekta A, Hashemi H, Pakzad R *et al.* Economic inequality in unmet refractive error need in deprived rural population of Iran. *J Curr Ophthalmol* 2020; 32: 189. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7337026/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2020.03.001) (Accessed 28/03/2021).
- Sheeladevi S, Seelam B, Nukella PB *et al.* Prevalence of refractive errors, uncorrected refractive error, and presbyopia in adults in India: a systematic review. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2019; 67: 583. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6498913/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijo.2019.03.001) (Accessed 28/03/2021).
- Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA *et al.* Global prevalence of myopia and high myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. *Ophthalmology* 2016; 123: 1036–1042.
- Flitcroft D. The complex interactions of retinal, optical and environmental factors in myopia aetiology. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 2012; 31: 622–660.
- Priscilla J & Verkicharla P. Time trends on the prevalence of myopia in India—a prediction model for 2050. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 466–474.
- Gupta SK, Singh A, Mahalingam K *et al.* Myopia and glaucoma progression among patients with Juvenile Onset Open Angle Glaucoma: a retrospective study. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 475–485.
- Wildsoet CF, Chia A, Cho P *et al.* IMI—interventions for controlling myopia onset and progression report. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2019; 60: M106–M131.
- Przekoracka K, Michalak K, Michalski A & Przekoracka-Krawczyk A. Computerized dynamic posturography for postural control assessment in subjects wearing multifocal contact lenses dedicated for myopia control. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 486–495.
- Rauscher F, Francke M, Hiemisch A, Kiess W & Michael R. Ocular biometry in children and adolescents from 4 to 17 years - a cross-sectional study in central. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 496–511.
- Rauscher F, Hiemisch A, Kiess W & Michael R. Feasibility and repeatability of ocular biometry measured with Lenstar LS 900 in a large group of children and adolescents. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 512–522.
- Chamberlain P, Lazon de la Jara P, Arumugam B & Bulimore M. Axial length targets for myopia control. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 523–531.
- Truckenbrod C, Meigen C, Brandt M *et al.* Longitudinal analysis of axial length growth in a German cohort of healthy children and adolescents. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 532–540.
- Findlay R, Black J, Goodman L *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy of the Parr vision test, single crowded Lea symbols and Spot vision screener for vision screening of preschool children in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 541–552.
- Ciner E, Kulp M, Pistilli M & Ying G. Associations between visual function and magnitude of refractive error for emmetropic to moderately hyperopic 4- and 5-year-old children in the vision in preschoolers - hyperopia in preschoolers study. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 553–564.
- Seelam B, Liu H, Borah RR, Sethu S & Keay L. A realist evaluation of the implementation of a large-scale school eye health program in India: a qualitative study. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 565–581.
- Wood J, Chiu C, Kim G *et al.* Refractive blur affects judgement of pedestrian walking direction at night. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 582–590.

25. Kandel H, Khadka J, Watson S, Fenwick E & Pesudovs K. Item banks for measurement of refractive error-specific quality-of-life. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 591–602.
26. Naroo S, Morgan P, Shinde L, Lee C & Ewbank A. Contact lens education for the practitioners of the future. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 603–609.
27. Bist J, Kaphle D, Marasini S & Kandel H. Spectacle non-tolerance in clinical practice – a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 610–622.
28. Chaudron M, Savage M, Seghers F *et al.* *Product narrative: eyeglasses | AT2030 programme*. at2030.org/product-narrative:-eyeglasses/ (Accessed 10/03/2021).
29. Harwood M & Cunningham W. Lessons from 2020 for equity in global eye health. *Lancet Global Health* 2021; 9: e387–e388.
30. Yashadhana A, Clarke N, Zhang J *et al.* Gender and ethnic diversity in global ophthalmology and optometry association leadership: a time for change. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2021; 41: 623–629.



Jacqueline Ramke is an Associate Professor at the School of Optometry and Vision Science at the University of Auckland, with a joint appointment at the International Centre for Eye Health at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. Her appointment at the University of Auckland is funded by the Buchanan Charitable Foundation, New Zealand. Jacqui's research focusses on strengthening the collection and use of health information—and seeking solutions—to promote equity in eye health. Jacqui contributes to Steering Groups and Technical Working Groups for the World Health Organization, the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness, Cochrane Eyes and Vision and the Rapid Assessment of Avoidable Blindness. She is a Commissioner in the *Lancet Global Health* Commission on Global Eye Health.



Nicola S. Logan is a Professor of Optometry and Director of Research for the Optometry and Vision Science Research Group, School of Optometry, Aston University, Birmingham, UK. Nicola's research interests are the epidemiology of refractive error, the development and aetiology of myopia and strategies for myopia control. Nicola leads an active myopia clinic at Aston University alongside her myopia research clinics and labs and she collaborates with other researchers working in the field of myopia as part of the Myopia Consortium UK and internationally as part of The International Myopia Institute.

The cover photograph was kindly provided by Julie Nestingen, Seva Foundation.