

MDPI

Article

Eye Care Behaviors among Adults in Poland: A Nationwide Cross-Sectional Survey

Agnieszka Kamińska ^{1,*}, Jarosław Pinkas ², Piotr Tyszko ^{3,4}, Iwona Wrześniewska-Wal ² and Mateusz Jankowski ²

- Faculty of Medicine, Collegium Medicum, Cardinal Stefan Wyszynski University, 01-938 Warsaw, Poland
- School of Public Health, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, 01-826 Warsaw, Poland
- Department of Social Medicine and Public Health, Medical University of Warsaw, 02-007 Warsaw, Poland
- ⁴ Institute of Rural Health in Lublin, 20-090 Lublin, Poland
- * Correspondence: agnieszka.kaminska@uksw.edu.pl

Abstract: Implementation of eye care behaviors may reduce the risk of eye symptoms and diseases. This study aimed to assess eye care behaviors and identify factors associated with eye care practices among adults in Poland. This cross-sectional survey was carried out between 9 and 12 December 2022 on a nationwide random quota sample of adults in Poland. The study questionnaire included a set of questions on 10 different eye care behaviors. The study population included 1076 participants with a mean age of 45.7 ± 16.2 years, and 54.2% of participants were females. The most common (30.2%) eye care behavior was the use of good lighting indoors, and 27.3% used sunglasses with a UV filter. More than one-fifth of participants declared taking regular screen breaks and limiting screen time. Less than one-tenth of participants used dietary supplements with lutein, beta-carotene, or zinc. Out of 12 factors assessed in this study, self-reported knowledge of eye diseases was the most important factor associated (p < 0.05) with eye care behaviors. There were no economic or educational gaps (p > 0.05) in the implementation of most of the eye care behaviors among adults in Poland. This study revealed a low level of implementation of eye care behaviors among adults in Poland.

Keywords: vision impairment; eye diseases; prevention; visual hygiene; ophthalmology; Poland



Citation: Kamińska, A.; Pinkas, J.; Tyszko, P.; Wrześniewska-Wal, I.; Jankowski, M. Eye Care Behaviors among Adults in Poland: A Nationwide Cross-Sectional Survey. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2023, 20, 3590. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph20043590

Academic Editor: Stefano Campostrini

Received: 29 December 2022 Revised: 11 February 2023 Accepted: 16 February 2023 Published: 17 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Approximately 2.2 billion people globally have a vision impairment [1]. Of those, more than 50% have vision impairment or blindness from potentially preventable or correctable causes [1]. Aging, lifestyle, genetics, history of infections, and chronic health conditions (e.g., diabetes or hypertension) are the most important factors for eye diseases and vision impairment [1–5]. Currently, most of the major causes of vision impairment and blindness have modifiable risk factors as well as effective therapy [1,3,5]. However, findings from the World report on vision, published by the World Health Organization, showed that interventions for health promotion in the field of eye care had received less attention than those aimed at secondary prevention or treatment [1,6].

There is a wide scope of eye conditions that can be targeted effectively with preventive strategies, including myopia, ocular trauma, age-related macular degeneration, cataract, trachoma, and ocular infections [1,7–9]. Tobacco use and a low-quality diet are common risk factors associated with numerous diseases, including eye diseases like cataracts and age-related macular degeneration [7,8]. In addition to general recommendations for a healthy lifestyle, there are also lifestyle behaviors aimed at eye care [1,9].

There is a strong correlation between ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure and eye diseases [10–12]. Chronic UV light exposure to the eye has been associated with cataract formation, pterygium, climatic droplet keratopathy, and eyelid malignancies [10,11]. Wearing sunglasses with a UV filter on a sunny day is an example of eye care behavior that provides UV eye protection [12].

It is estimated that by 2050, nearly half of the world's population may be myopic [13]. Lifestyle behaviors such as near work, incorrect reading and writing posture, increased time studying and reading, limited time outdoors, and prolonged use of electronic devices (especially mobile devices like smartphones) may lead to visual fatigue and refractive errors (mostly myopia among children) [14–16]. Increased time spent outdoors and decreased near-work activities are one of the most effective interventions to delay the onset and slow the progression of myopia [1,17].

The workplace also plays an important role in eye care promotion [18]. Visual ergonomics in the workplace may reduce the risk of computer vision syndrome, dry eye, and eye strain [19]. Taking regular screen breaks may also reduce the risk of eye symptoms. Moreover, proper organization of the workplace and compliance with workplace eye safety guidelines reduces the risk of work-related eye injuries [20].

Individuals with eye conditions should pay particular attention to eye care behaviors. Compliance with spectacle wear is the basic principle of eye care among individuals with refractive errors [1]. Moreover, the use of lubricating eye drops is the most available means of alleviating symptoms of dry eye [21]. Hand hygiene prior to contact lens handling also reduces the risk of common eye infections [22].

Most of the studies on eye care behaviors are limited to preventative lifestyle changes that can reduce the risk of myopia or slow the progression of myopia among children [1]. In the *World report on vision*, the WHO called on member states to provide high-quality, representative data on eye care [1]. Data on eye care behaviors among adults may be used by public health authorities and healthcare workers to develop eye care strategies both at the national and local (e.g., in the workplace) levels. There is a lack of nationwide data on eye care behaviors among adults in Poland.

Therefore, this study aimed to assess eye care behaviors among adults in Poland and to identify factors associated with eye care practices among adults in Poland.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

This cross-sectional survey was carried out between 9 and 12 December 2022. Data were collected using computer-assisted web interview (CAWI) methods. The study questionnaire was available online at a dedicated research platform managed by the survey company [23]. Datasets generated during the data collection process were anonymous. Participation in this study was voluntary, and informed consent was collected. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee at the Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education (Warsaw, Poland), approval number: 154/2022.

2.2. Population

A representative sample of 1076 adults was selected from >100,000 individuals registered in the dataset managed by the survey company [23]. Non-probability quota sampling technique was applied. A nationwide representative sample was selected, with the following variables included in the stratification model: gender, age, and place of residence. Data from the public demographic registry managed by Statistics Poland were used for sampling and sample size calculations [24]. The sample size was representative of the adult population of Poland [25,26].

2.3. Questionnaire and Measures

This study was carried out as a part of the research project entitled "Poles' attitudes towards eye diseases-knowledge about eye diseases, awareness of risk factors, prevention". The study questionnaire included 9 questions (5 multiple-choice, 4 single-choice) on eye care, awareness of eye disorders and diseases, and eye care behaviors. Moreover, a set of questions on socioeconomic characteristics was addressed. The questionnaire was self-prepared based on the literature review [1–5,9]. A pilot study was carried out, and 11 adult

subjects filled the questionnaire twice, 7 days apart. After the pilot study, two questions were revised to improve the clarity of the text.

Eye care behaviors: Participants were asked about eye care behaviors using the following question: "Which of the following eye protection and eye care behaviors have you used in the last 12 months?: (1) wearing sunglasses with a UV filter on a sunny day; (2) regular use of lubricating eye drops; (3) taking food or a dietary supplement that contains lutein; (4) taking food or a dietary supplement that contains beta-carotene; (5) taking food or a dietary supplement that contains zinc; (6) limiting the time spent on the computer; (7) taking regular breaks while working on the computer; (8) limiting the time spent on watching TV, tablet or smartphone screen; (9) use good lighting indoors, especially when reading a book; (10) eye exercises (e.g., relaxation) (yes/no)".

Self-reported knowledge of eye diseases: Participants were asked to rate their level of knowledge of eye diseases using a 5-point Likert scale (very good, rather good, moderate, rather bad, and very bad). In the analysis, the responses very bad and rather bad were combined into one answer: "bad", and responses "rather good" and "very good" were combined into one answer: "good".

Socioeconomic variables: Currently employed or self-employed participants were classified as professionally active. Unemployed, retired, or students were classified as those with passive professional status. Economic status was assessed based on self-reported declaration (good, moderate, and bad financial situation of the household).

The presence of chronic diseases, as well as wearing spectacles or contact lenses, was based on self-reported declarations and medical records were not verified, as this study was anonymous.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The IBM SPSS package version 28 was used for the statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics (frequencies and proportions) were used to present the distribution of categorical variables. Cross-tabulation with a chi-squared test was used for bivariate analyses. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were carried out to identify factors associated with eye care practices among adults in Poland. The use of eye care practices (10 separate analyses) was defined as the dependent variable. Twelve socioeconomic variables were included in the model (independent variables). The strength of association was presented by the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The criterion of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Study Population

The study population included 1076 participants, with a mean age of 45.7 ± 16.2 years, and 54.2% of participants were females (Table 1). Over 40% of participants had at least one chronic condition, and 55.6% of participants declared that they wore spectacles or contact lenses. Characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1.

Variable	n	%
Gender		
male	493	45.8
female	583	54.2
Age group (years)		
18–34	337	31.3
35–49	279	25.9
50-64	298	27.7
65+	162	15.1

Table 1. Cont.

Variable	п	%
Educational level		
primary	24	2.2
vocational	115	10.7
secondary	494	45.9
higher	443	41.2
Currently married		
yes	561	52.1
no	515	47.9
Place of residence		
rural area	403	37.5
city < 20,000 inhabitants	136	12.6
city $\ge 20,000 < 100,000$ inhabitants	212	19.7
city $\ge 100,000 < 500,000$ inhabitants	191	17.8
city \geq 500,000 inhabitants	134	12.5
Having children		
yes	688	63.9
no	388	36.1
Household size		
living alone	148	13.8
at least two persons	928	86.2
Professional activity		
active	653	60.7
passive	423	39.3
Economic status		
good	414	38.5
moderate	408	37.9
bad	254	23.6
Health status		
presence of at least one chronic condition	478	44.4
healthy	598	55.6
Wearing spectacles or contact lenses		
yes	598	55.6
no	482	44.8
Self-reported knowledge of eye diseases		
good	107	9.9
moderate	471	43.8
bad	498	46.3

3.2. Eye Care Behaviors among Adults in Poland

The most common eye care behavior was the use of good lighting indoors (30.2%). Over one-quarter of participants (27.3%) used sunglasses with a UV filter on a sunny day (Table 2). More than one-fifth of participants declared taking regular breaks while working on the computer (22%), limiting the time spent on the computer (21.7%), or limiting the time spent watching TV/tablet/smartphone (21.2%). The use of lubricating eye drops was declared by 19.5% of participants. Less than one-tenth of participants used dietary supplementation of lutein (8%), beta-carotene (7.7%), or zinc (8.7%). Every third participant declared that in the last 12 months, they had not used any eye care practices. The prevalence of the use of eye care practices differed by socioeconomic factors (Table 2). In general, participants who declared a good level of knowledge of eye diseases, as well as those who wore spectacles or contact lenses, often declared eye care practices (Table 2). There were no differences (p > 0.05) in the prevalence of eye care practices (except the use of good lighting indoors) by occupational or economic status (Table 2). Females, compared to males, more often declared the use of sunglasses, lubricating eye drops, and good lighting indoors (p < 0.05). Older adults more often declared the use of sunglasses, lubricating eye drops, good lighting indoors, eye exercise practice, and taking lutein supplementation (p < 0.05). Details are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Eye care behaviors among adults in Poland (n = 1076).

		; Sunglass er on a Su	ses with a nny Day	Regular	Use of Lul Eye Drops		Dietary	king Food Supplemontains Lu	ent That	Dietary	cing Food of Suppleme Contains eta-Caroter	ent That	a Die	iking Food tary Suppl t Contains	ement
Variable	n	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	п	%	р
Overall	294	27.3		210	19.5		86	8.0		83	7.7		94	8.7	
Gender															
male	93	18.9	0.001	80	16.2	0.01	52	8.9	0.2	53	9.1	0.07	53	9.1	0.7
female	201	34.5	< 0.001	130	22.3	0.01	34	6.9	0.2	30	6.1	0.07	41	8.3	0.7
Age group (years)															
18–34	81	24.0		60	17.8		17	5.0		25	7.4		35	10.4	
35–49	64	22.9	0.01	44	15.8	0.00	19	6.8	0.02	20	7.2	0.6	19	6.8	0.1
50-64	90	30.2	0.01	61	20.5	0.02	32	10.7	0.02	28	9.4	0.6	31	10.4	0.1
65+	59	36.4		45	27.8		18	11.1		10	6.2		9	5.6	
Educational level															
primary	3	12.5		2	8.3		0	0.0		3	12.5		3	12.5	
vocational	16	13.9	.0.001	15	13.0	0.1	7	6.1	0.2	7	6.1	0.0	7	6.1	0.1
secondary	131	26.5	< 0.001	97	19.6	0.1	38	7.7	0.3	31	6.3	0.2	35	7.1	0.1
higher	144	32.5		96	21.7		41	9.3		42	9.5		49	11.1	
Currently married															
yes	155	27.6	0.9	112	20.0	0.7	44	7.8	0.0	42	7.5	0.0	41	7.3	0.1
no	139	27.0	0.9	98	19.0	0.7	42	8.2	0.9	41	8.0	0.8	53	10.3	0.1
Place of residence															
rural area	95	23.6		73	18.1		25	6.2		27	6.7		27	6.7	
city < 20,000 inhabitants	39	28.7		27	19.9		11	8.1		6	4.4		11	8.1	
city $\ge 20,000 < 100,000$ inhabitants	68	32.1	0.04	48	22.6	0.8	17	8.0	0.3	18	8.5	0.2	20	9.4	0.2
$city \ge 100,000 < 500,000 \text{ inhabitants}$	62	32.5		37	19.4		22	11.5		21	11.0		24	12.6	
city \geq 500,000 inhabitants	30	22.4		25	18.7		11	8.2		11	8.2		12	9.0	
Having children															
yes	188	27.3	0.9	124	18.0	0.1	53	7.7	0.6	48	7.0	0.2	49	7.1	0.01
no	106	27.3		86	22.2	0.1	33	8.5	0.6	35	9.0	∪.∠	45	11.6	0.01
Household size															
living alone	47	31.8	0.2	27	18.2	0.8	17	11.5	0.1	10	6.8	0.6	16	10.6	0.3
at least two persons	247	26.6	0.4	183	19.7	0.0	69	7.4	0.1	73	7.9	0.0	78	8.4	0.5

 Table 2. Cont.

		g Sunglass er on a Su		Regular	Use of Lu Eye Drop	lbricating s	Dietary	king Food Supplem ontains Lu	ent That	Dietary	king Food Supplem Contains eta-Carote	ent That	a Die	nking Food tary Suppl t Contains	lement
Variable	n	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	п	%	p
Professional activity															
active	172	26.3	0.4	123	18.8	0.5	49	7.5	0.5	54	8.3	0.4	69	10.6	0.01
passive	122	28.8	0.4	87	20.6	0.5	37	8.7	0.5	29	6.9	0.4	25	5.9	0.01
Economic status															
good	127	30.7		86	20.8		28	6.8		36	8.7		43	10.4	
moderate	106	26.0	0.1	71	17.4	0.4	36	8.8	0.5	34	8.3	0.2	31	7.6	0.3
bad	61	24.0		53	20.9		22	8.7		13	5.1		20	7.9	
Health status															
presence of at least one chronic	156	32.6		110	24.7		16	0.6		34	7.1	0.5	40	10.0	
condition	136	32.6	< 0.001	118	24.7	< 0.001	46	9.6	0.08	34	7.1	0.5	48	10.0	0.2
healthy	138	23.1		92	15.4		40	6.7		49	8.2		46	7.7	
Wearing spectacles or contact lenses															
yes	191	32.2	0.001	134	22.6	0.01	66	11.1	0.001	47	7.9	0.0	57	9.6	0.0
no	103	21.4	< 0.001	76	15.8	0.01	20	4.1	< 0.001	36	7.5	0.8	37	7.7	0.3
Self-reported knowledge of eye diseases															
good	39	36.4		36	33.6		13	12.1		15	14.0		15	14.0	
moderate	141	29.9	0.004	105	22.3	< 0.001	46	9.8	0.01	47	10.0	< 0.001	49	10.4	0.01
bad	114	22.9		69	13.9		27	5.4		21	4.2		30	6.0	
		ng the Tin the Comp			g Regular Working Compute	on the	on Wate	ng the Tin ching TV, crtphone S	Tablet, or	Use o	of Good Li Indoors	ghting		Eye Exercis g., Relaxat	
Variable	n	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	п	%	р
Overall	234	21.7		237	22.0		228	21.2		325	30.2		98	9.1	
Gender															
male	104	21.1		108	21.9		97	19.7		126	25.6		52	10.5	
female	130	22.3	0.6	129	22.1	0.9	131	22.5	0.3	199	34.1	0.002	46	7.9	0.1

 Table 2. Cont.

	Limiting the Time Spent on the Computer				Taking Regular Breaks while Working on the Computer			Limiting the Time Spent on Watching TV, Tablet, or Smartphone Screen			Use of Good Lighting Indoors			Eye Exercises (e.g., Relaxation)		
Variable	n	%	p	п	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	п	%	р	
Age group (years)																
18–34	71	21.1		68	20.2		65	19.3		87	25.8		46	13.6		
35–49	55	19.7	0.3	53	19.0	0.2	47	16.8	0.04	66	23.7	< 0.001	22	7.9	0.01	
50–64	64	21.5	0.3	74	24.8	0.2	75	25.2	0.04	100	33.6	<0.001	20	6.7	0.01	
65+	44	27.2		42	25.9		41	25.3		72	44.4		10	6.2		
Educational level																
primary	3	12.5		2	8.3		5	20.8		4	16.7		1	4.2		
vocational	17	14.8	0.01	20	17.4	0.00	25	21.7	0.1	13	11.3	.0.001	10	8.7	0.0	
secondary	98	19.8	0.01	99	20.0	0.02	90	18.2	0.1	142	28.7	< 0.001	44	8.9	0.8	
higher	116	26.2		116	26.2		108	24.4		166	37.5		43	9.7		
Currently married																
yes	130	23.2	0.2	115	20.5	0.0	121	21.6	0.0	169	30.1	0.0	46	8.2	0.0	
no	104	20.2	0.2	122	23.7	0.2	107	20.8	0.8	156	30.3	0.9	52	10.1	0.3	
Place of residence																
rural area	95	23.6		84	20.8		82	20.3		111	27.5		29	7.2		
city < 20,000 inhabitants	23	16.9		24	17.6		28	20.6		41	30.1		7	5.1		
city $\ge 20,000 < 100,000$ inhabitants	55	25.9	0.1	50	23.6	0.1	52	24.5	0.8	64	30.2	0.5	23	10.8	0.04	
city $\ge 100,000 < 500,000$ inhabitants	34	17.8		39	20.4		40	20.9		66	34.6		20	10.5		
city \geq 500,000 inhabitants	27	20.1		40	29.9		26	19.4		43	32.1		19	14.2		
Having children																
yes	155	22.5	0.4	152	22.1	0.0	159	23.1	0.04	226	32.8	0.01	53	7.7	0.00	
no	79	20.4	0.4	85	21.9	0.9	69	17.8	0.04	99	25.5	0.01	45	11.6	0.03	
Household size																
living alone	28	18.9	0.4	38	25.7	0.2	32	21.6	0.0	51	34.5	0.2	14	9.5	0.0	
at least two persons	206	22.2	0.4	199	21.4	0.2	196	21.1	0.9	274	29.5	0.2	84	9.1	0.9	
Professional activity																
active	146	22.4	0.5	151	23.1	0.2	135	20.7	0.6	179	27.4	0.01	65	10.0	0.2	
passive	88	20.8	0.5	86	20.3	0.3	93	22.0	0.6	146	34.5	0.01	33	7.8	0.2	

 Table 2. Cont.

	Limiting the Time Spent on the Computer		Taking Regular Breaks while Working on the Computer		Limiting the Time Spent on Watching TV, Tablet, or Smartphone Screen			Use of Good Lighting Indoors			Eye Exercises (e.g., Relaxation)				
Variable	n	%	р	п	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р	n	%	р
Economic status															
good	93	22.5		98	23.7		94	22.7		146	35.3		42	10.1	
moderate	92	22.5	0.6	88	21.6	0.5	86	21.1	0.5	117	28.7	0.01	37	9.1	0.5
bad	49	19.3		51	20.1		48	18.9		62	24.4		19	7.5	
Health status															
presence of at least one chronic	112	23.4		119	24.9		117	24.5		170	35.6		39	8.2	
condition			0.2			0.04			0.02			< 0.001			0.3
healthy	122	20.4		118	19.7		111	18.6		155	25.9		59	9.9	
Wearing spectacles or contact lenses															
yes	154	25.9	< 0.001	152	25.6	0.02	144	24.2	0.01	209	35.2	0.001	63	10.6	0.06
no	80	16.6		85	17.6	0.02	84	17.4	0.01	116	24.1	< 0.001	35	7.3	0.06
Self-reported knowledge of eye															
diseases															
good	27	25.2		32	29.9		32	29.9		42	39.3		16	15.0	
moderate	123	26.1	0.001	131	27.8	< 0.001	115	24.4	< 0.001	159	33.8	0.001	55	11.7	< 0.001
bad	84	16.9		74	14.9		81	16.3		124	24.9		27	5.4	

3.3. Factors Associated with the Prevention of Eye Disorders and Diseases among Adults in Poland

Table 3 shows the result of the multivariable logistic regression analysis. The level of self-reported knowledge of eye diseases was the most important factor associated (p < 0.05) with the prevention of eye disorders and diseases among adults in Poland (Table 3). Females were more likely to use sunglasses with a UV filter (OR: 2.39, 95% CI: 1.77–3.23, p < 0.001), use lubricating eye drops (OR: 1.51, 95% CI: 1.09–2.11, p = 0.01), and use of good lighting indoors (OR: 1.41, 95% CI: 1.06–1.87, p = 0.02). Participants aged 65 years and over were more likely to use sunglasses with a UV filter (OR: 2.37, 95% CI: 1.37–4.10, p = 0.002), use lubricating eye drops (OR: 3.05, 95% CI: 1.66–5.61, p < 0.001), use good lighting indoors (OR: 1.96, 95% CI: 1.16–3.32, p = 0.01), and use lutein supplementation (OR: 2.88, 95% CI: 1.16–7.20, p = 0.02). Moreover, compared to the younger group, participants aged 50-64 years were more likely to take foods or dietary supplements that contain lutein (OR: 2.48, 95% CI: 1.19–5.20, p = 0.02) or beta-carotene (OR: 2.14, 95% CI: 1.06–4.32, p = 0.03). Participants aged 34 years and over were less likely to perform eye exercises (p < 0.05). Those with higher education were more likely to use sunglasses with a UV filter (OR: 1.48, 95% CI: 1.10–1.99, p = 0.01), limit the time spent on the computer (OR: 1.47, 95% CI: 1.08–2.00, p = 0.02) and use good lighting indoors (OR: 1.79, 95% CI: 1.34–2.38, p < 0.001). Participants who lived in cities below 500,000 inhabitants were more likely to use sunglasses with a UV filter compared to those who lived in cities above 500,00 inhabitants (p < 0.05). Those who had children were less likely (p < 0.05) to use sunglasses, lubricating eyedrops, or lutein supplements (Table 3). Currently employed/self-employed participants were more likely to take regular breaks while working on the computer (OR: 1.46, 95% CI: 1.01–2.12, p = 0.04) and zinc supplementation (OR: 1.99, 95% CI: 1.13–3.50, p = 0.02). Participants with good economic status were more likely to use sunglasses with UV filter (OR: 1.52, 95% CI: 1.04-2.24, p = 0.03) and use good lighting indoors (OR: 1.86, 95% CI: 1.27-2.71, p = 0.001). Participants with chronic diseases were more likely to use sunglasses with UV filter (OR: 1.44, 95% CI: 1.07–1.94, p = 0.02) and lubricating eye drops (OR:1.68, 95% CI: 1.20–2.34, p = 0.002). Those participants who wore spectacles or contact lenses were more likely to use sunglasses with a UV filter on a sunny day (OR: 1.37, 95% CI: 1.01–1.85, p = 0.04), lutein supplements (OR: 2.33, 95% CI: 1.36–4.01, p = 0.002), and limiting time spent on the computer (OR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.19–2.27, p = 0.003). Details are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Factors associated with the use of eye care practices among adults in Poland (n = 1076).

	Wearing Sungla a UV Filteron a S			Regular Use of Lubricating Eye Drops		a Dietary t Contains	Taking Food or Supplement Tha Beta-Carot	t Contains	Taking Food ora Dietary Supplement That Contains Zinc		
Variable	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	p.	OR (95% CI)	р	
Gender											
male	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
female	2.39 (1.77–3.23)	< 0.001	1.51 (1.09–2.11)	0.01	1.15 (0.71–1.85)	0.6	1.60 (0.98–2.61)	0.06	1.13 (0.72–1.78)	0.6	
Age group (years)											
18–34	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
35–49	1.06 (0.70-1.63)	0.8	1.05 (0.65-1.70)	0.8	1.69 (0.81-3.54)	0.2	1.30 (0.66-2.57)	0.5	0.77 (0.41-1.48)	0.4	
50–64	1.49 (0.96-2.31)	0.07	1.50 (0.92-2.46)	0.1	2.48 (1.19-5.20)	0.02	2.14 (1.06-4.32)	0.03	1.43 (0.75-2.72)	0.3	
65+	2.37 (1.37-4.10)	0.002	3.05 (1.66–5.61)	< 0.001	2.88 (1.16–7.20)	0.02	1.71 (0.65-4.49)	0.3	1.00 (0.38-2.63)	0.9	
Educational level											
higher	1.48 (1.10-1.99)	0.01	1.15 (0.83-1.60)	0.4	1.22 (0.76-1.96)	0.4	1.38 (0.86-2.22)	0.2	1.35 (0.86-2.12)	0.2	
less than higher	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Currently married											
yes	1.13 (0.78-1.63)	0.5	1.20 (0.79-1.81)	0.4	1.11 (0.60-2.02)	0.7	1.04 (0.57-1.87)	0.9	0.85 (0.48-1.51)	0.6	
no	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Place of residence											
rural area	1.61 (0.97-2.67)	0.07	1.43 (0.82-2.48)	0.2	1.08 (0.49-2.38)	0.9	1.00 (0.46-2.17)	0.9	1.09 (0.51-2.33)	0.8	
city < 20,000 inhabitants	1.89 (1.05-3.40)	0.03	1.43 (0.75–2.72)	0.3	1.35 (0.54–3.36)	0.5	0.59 (0.21–1.70)	0.3	1.27 (0.52–3.09)	0.6	
city $\ge 20,000 < 100,000$ inhabitants	2.09 (1.23–3.57)	0.01	1.58 (0.89–2.82)	0.1	1.19 (0.52–2.71)	0.7	1.17 (0.52–2.65)	0.7	1.36 (0.62–2.97)	0.4	
$city \ge 100,000 < 500,000 \text{ inhabitants}$	2.14 (1.25–3.67)	0.01	1.36 (0.75–2.48)	0.3	1.89 (0.85-4.21)	0.1	1.61 (0.73–3.58)	0.2	1.84 (0.86–3.93)	0.1	
city \geq 500,000 inhabitants	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Having children											
yes	0.65 (0.44-0.95)	0.03	0.44 (0.29-0.68)	< 0.001	0.53 (0.29-0.96)	0.04	0.52 (0.28-0.96)	0.04	0.57 (0.32-1.02)	0.06	
no	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Household size											
living alone	1.21 (0.76-1.92)	0.4	0.73 (0.43-1.26)	0.3	1.28 (0.64-2.57)	0.5	0.76 (0.35-1.66)	0.5	1.16 (0.60-2.26)	0.7	
at least two persons	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Professional activity											
active	1.31 (0.93-1.86)	0.1	1.44 (0.97-2.13)	0.1	1.18 (0.67-2.07)	0.6	1.21 (0.69-2.11)	0.5	1.99 (1.13-3.50)	0.02	
passive	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Economic status											
good	1.52 (1.04-2.24)	0.03	0.98 (0.65-1.49)	0.9	0.77 (0.42-1.43)	0.4	1.69 (0.85-3.36)	0.1	1.31 (0.73-2.35)	0.4	
moderate	1.12 (0.76–1.64)	0.6	0.79 (0.52–1.20)	0.3	1.02 (0.57–1.81)	0.9	1.70 (0.87–3.35)	0.1	0.93 (0.51–1.71)	0.8	
bad	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		

 Table 3. Cont.

	Wearing Sungla a UV Filteron a S		Regular Use of Lub Drops	0)	Taking Food or Supplement Tha Lutein	t Contains	Taking Food or Supplement Tha Beta-Caro	at Contains	Taking Food ora Dietary Supplement That Contains Zinc	
Variable	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	p.	OR (95% CI)	р
Health status										
presence of at least one chronic condition	1.44 (1.07–1.94)	0.02	1.68 (1.20–2.34)	0.002	1.16 (0.72–1.87)	0.5	0.83 (0.51–1.35)	0.5	1.54 (0.97–2.45)	0.07
healthy	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Wearing spectacles or contact lenses										
yes	1.37 (1.01–1.85)	0.04	1.28 (0.91–1.78)	0.2	2.33 (1.36-4.01)	0.002	0.84 (0.51-1.36)	0.5	1.11 (0.70-1.77)	0.7
no	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Self-reported knowledge of e	ye diseases									
good	1.85 (1.15–2.97)	0.01	3.29 (1.99–5.43)	< 0.001	2.68 (1.28–5.58)	0.01	3.74 (1.81–7.72)	< 0.001	2.37 (1.19-4.71)	0.01
moderate	1.30 (0.96–1.77)	0.1	1.77 (1.25–2.50)	0.001	1.74 (1.05–2.90)	0.03	2.42 (1.41-4.12)	0.001	1.88 (1.15–3.07)	0.01
bad	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
	Limiting the Time Comput		Taking Regular Breaks while Working on the Computer		Limiting the Tim Watching TV, 7 Smartphone	Гablet, or	Use of Good Ligh	ting Indoors	Eye Exercises (e.g., Relaxation	
Variable	OR (95%CI)	p	OR (95%CI)	p	OR (95%CI)	p	OR (95%CI)	p	OR (95%CI)	p
Gender										
male	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
female	1.03 (0.76–1.41)	0.8	0.93 (0.68–1.26)	0.6	1.07 (0.78–1.46)	0.7	1.41 (1.06–1.87)	0.02	0.64 (0.41–1.01)	0.05
Age group (years)										
18–34	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
35–49	0.82 (0.53-1.27)	0.4	0.92 (0.59-1.44)	0.7	0.74 (0.47-1.17)	0.2	0.84 (0.56-1.27)	0.4	0.51 (0.28-0.93	0.03
50-64	0.87 (0.55-1.39)	0.6	1.37 (0.86-2.17)	0.2	1.19 (0.75-1.88)	0.5	1.32 (0.87-2.02)	0.2	0.39 (0.20-0.76)	0.01
65+	1.53 (0.86–2.72)	0.2	1.74 (0.96–3.14)	0.1	1.23 (0.68–2.20)	0.5	1.96 (1.16-3.32)	0.01	0.36 (0.15-0.88)	0.03
Educational level										
higher	1.47 (1.08-2.00)	0.02	1.34 (0.98-1.83)	0.1	1.34 (0.98-1.84)	0.1	1.79 (1.34-2.38)	< 0.001	0.88 (0.56-1.39)	0.6
less than higher	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Currently married										
yes	1.08 (0.73-1.60)	0.7	0.70 (0.48-1.04)	0.1	0.79 (0.53-1.17)	0.2	0.72 (0.50-1.04)	0.1	1.15 (0.64-2.05)	0.6
no	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	

 Table 3. Cont.

	Limiting the Time Comput		Taking Regular B Working on the		Limiting the Tim Watching TV, T Smartphone	Tablet, or	Use of Good Light	ting Indoors	Eye Exercises (e.g., Relaxation)		
Variable	OR (95%CI)	р	OR (95%CI)	p	OR (95%CI)	р	OR (95%CI)	р	OR (95%CI)	р	
Place of residence											
rural area	1.57 (0.94-2.62)	0.1	0.81 (0.50-1.30)	0.4	1.32 (0.78-2.22)	0.3	1.08 (0.68-1.72)	0.7	0.51 (0.26-0.99)	0.04	
city < 20,000 inhabitants	0.97 (0.51-1.82)	0.9	0.61 (0.33–1.11)	0.1	1.25 (0.67–2.32)	0.5	1.11 (0.64–1.93)	0.7	0.37 (0.14-0.94)	0.04	
city $\ge 20,000 < 100,000$ inhabitants	1.55 (0.90–2.66)	0.1	0.79 (0.47–1.31)	0.4	1.45 (0.84–2.51)	0.2	1.00 (0.61–1.65)	0.9	0.75 (0.38-1.50)	0.4	
city $\ge 100,000 < 500,000$ inhabitants	0.95 (0.54–1.70)	0.9	0.67 (0.40–1.14)	0.1	1.21 (0.69–2.14)	0.5	1.28 (0.78–2.10)	0.4	0.75 (0.37–1.51)	0.4	
city \geq 500,000 inhabitants	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Having children											
yes	0.94 (0.62-1.41)	0.8	1.00 (0.60-1.57)	0.9	1.38 (0.91-2.10)	0.1	1.35 (0.92-1.97)	0.1	0.88 (0.49-1.58)	0.7	
no	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Household size											
living alone	0.81 (0.49-1.36)	0.4	0.97 (0.60-1.57)	0.9	0.95 (0.57-1.56)	0.6	1.02 (0.65-1.60)	0.9	1.07 (0.54-2.14)	0.8	
at least two persons	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Professional activity											
active	1.35 (0.93-1.97)	0.1	1.46 (1.01-2.12)	0.04	1.10 (0.76–1.58)	0.6	0.92 (0.66-1.28)	0.6	0.98 (0.58-1.66)	0.9	
passive	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Economic status											
good	1.11 (0.73–1.67)	0.6	1.21 (0.81-1.82)	0.4	1.27 (0.84-1.92)	0.3	1.86 (1.27-2.71)	0.001	1.07 (0.59-1.94)	0.8	
moderate	1.21 (0.81–1.81)	0.3	1.09 (0.73–1.63)	0.7	1.15 (0.77–1.73)	0.5	1.27 (0.87–1.84)	0.2	1.09 (0.60–1.98)	0.8	
bad	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Health status											
presence of at least one chronic	1.15 (0.84–1.58)	0.4	1.32 (0.96–1.81)	0.1	1.32 (0.96–1.82)	0.1	1.36 (1.02–1.82)	0.04	0.92 (0.58–1.47)	0.7	
condition	,	0.1	,	0.1	` ,	0.1	,	0.01	,	0.7	
healthy	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Wearing spectacles or contact lenses											
yes	1.64 (1.19-2.27)	0.003	1.34 (0.98-1.86)	0.1	1.25 (0.91-1.73)	0.2	1.28 (0.96-1.71)	0.1	1.75 (1.10-2.79)	0.02	
no	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Self-reported knowledge of ey											
good	1.59 (0.95-2.66)	0.1	2.31 (1.40-3.81)	0.001	2.14 (1.31-3.51)	0.003	1.80 (1.13-2.87)	0.01	2.57 (1.29-5.12)	0.01	
moderate	1.68 (1.22-2.32)	0.002	2.17 (1.56-3.02)	< 0.001	1.57 (1.13-2.18)	0.01	1.44 (1.08–1.94)	0.02	2.51 (1.53-4.12)	< 0.001	
bad	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first nationwide study on eye care behaviors among adults in Poland. Out of ten different eye care behaviors analyzed in this study, the use of good lighting indoors and UV protection with sunglasses were the most common eye care behaviors. However, one-third of adults in Poland have not implemented any eye care behaviors. Self-reported knowledge of eye diseases was the most important factor associated (p < 0.05) with eye care behaviors. There were no economic or educational gaps in the implementation of most of the eye care behaviors among adults in Poland.

Eye diseases and vision impairment pose significant public health challenges due to their social and economic consequences [27]. However, the WHO report on visions revealed that eye health is often missed in national health strategies [1]. Most of the public health interventions related to eye health are focused on disease diagnosis and management (e.g., providing access to ophthalmologic surgery) [1,6]. The global burden of eye diseases and vision impairment will increase due to global demographic changes related to population aging in developed countries as well as widespread access to the internet and an increase in smart device screen time in developing countries [1–3]. Self-care practices, including eye care behaviors, may alleviate the consequences of demographic and lifestyle changes and their implications for eye health at the individual and populational levels.

Poland is a former Eastern Bloc country with a rapid economic increase in the past two decades. Moreover, population decline (from 38 million to 34 million within the next 30 years) and population aging are expected [24]. The widespread implementation of eye care behaviors may reduce the health burden of eye diseases and control the utilization of eye care services [28]. In line with the evidence-based public health strategy, the identification of the current state of eye health behaviors may pose a basis for further eye health interventions.

Out of twelve different factors analyzed in this study, the self-reported level of knowledge of eye diseases was the most important factor associated with all analyzed eye care behaviors. Eye health literacy seems to be the most important factor that may influence eye care behaviors. Eye health promotion and education should primarily focus on strengthening individual health competencies related to eye care.

Findings from this study revealed that using good lighting indoors, especially when reading a book, was the most common eye care behavior. Ergonomic lighting is a crucial part of visual ergonomics [29]. Before the pandemic, lighting ergonomics was mostly implemented in the workplace [29]. However, the growing popularity of remote work during the COVID-19 pandemic encouraged households to organize an ergonomic workplace [30]. Moreover, the lighting of rooms (natural or artificial) is often a priority when designing new buildings and apartments. Along with the healthy building approach, the role of lighting ergonomics will increase [31]. In this study, older adults were more likely to use good lighting. We can hypothesize that this finding may result from the fact the prevalence of eye diseases and vision impairment increases with age [2,3]. Moreover, higher education and good financial status were also associated with good lighting indoors. We can hypothesize that a better economic situation often leads to better working conditions.

Chronic UV light exposure is harmful to the eye [10,11]. In this study, only 27.3% of participants declared they wore sunglasses with a UV filter on a sunny day. Out of 12 different factors analyzed in this study, nine were significantly associated with the use of sunglasses as an eye care behavior. Sunglasses are often treated as an element of clothing, often associated with fashion and image [12]. Female gender, living in urban areas, having higher education, and having good financial status were significantly associated with the use of sunglasses, which supports the thesis that sunglasses are mostly used for fashion purposes than for health purposes. However, the presence of chronic diseases and wearing spectacles or lenses were also significantly associated with the use of sunglasses. Significant differences in sunglasses use to socioeconomic factors suggest that there is a further need for eye health education. Public health campaigns should underline the importance of sunglasses with UV filters for health purposes (protection against UV radiation) [10–12].

Taking screen breaks and limiting screen time are common recommendations for individuals using electronic devices [32]. In this study, over one-fifth of participants declared taking screen breaks and limiting screen time. Higher education and wearing spectacles were associated with limiting computer screen time. We can hypothesize that those with higher education mostly work in offices or workplaces where a computer is a basic tool for work. Moreover, those who wear spectacles or contact lenses may be more aware of eye health issues but also more vulnerable to computer vision syndrome. Professionally active participants were more likely to take screen breaks which may result from the occupational medicine guidelines or health promotion in the workplace. Nevertheless, the percentage of adults in Poland taking regular screen breaks or limiting screen time was relatively low. Eye exercises (e.g., relaxation) are another method of eye care, but with limited scientific evidence [33]. Eye exercises may be used as a form of eye relaxation during screen breaks. However, less than 10% of participants declared the implementation of this eye care behavior. Eye care guidelines for those who use computers, developed by public health authorities, are needed.

Lubricating eye drops provide moisture and relief for dry eyes due to temporary causes, like being tired or due to environmental factors [21,34]. Lubricating eye drops are widely available over the counter in pharmacies and drugstores [21,34]. In this study, almost one-fifth of participants declared regular use of lubricating eye drops. Females, older adults, as well as those with chronic diseases were more likely to use lubricating eye drops. This finding is in line with the epidemiology of eye symptoms and eye conditions [1–3]. Menopausal women are at greater risk for developing dry eye syndrome [35]. In older age, age-related anatomical changes in the lacrimal gland, conjunctiva, and meibomian gland are observed that may affect the ocular surface health and risk of dry eyes [36]. As there were no differences in the use of lubricating eye drops by wearing spectacles/contact lenses, ophthalmologists should pay more attention to educating patients with vision impairment on the role of lubricating eye drops in eye self-care.

Diet quality may influence the risk of eye diseases [37]. Moreover, there is a scientific debate on micronutrients and their effect on eye health [38–40]. Lutein, beta-carotene, and zinc are often marketed as substances supporting eye health [38,39]. Moreover, dietary supplements containing the abovementioned substances were widely marketed in Poland. However, scientific evidence on the effectiveness of lutein, beta-carotene, or zinc supplementation on eye health is unclear [38–40]. In this study, questions on dietary supplementation and eye exercises were addressed to assess the prevalence of behaviors that may result from marketing campaigns (including social media) rather than evidence-based knowledge provided by healthcare professionals. In this study, less than one-tenth of participants declared the supplementation of lutein, beta-carotene, or zinc. Participants aged 50 years and over, as well as those wearing spectacles or contact lenses, were more likely to use lutein-containing products. Dietary supplements with lutein were the most common ones, targeted at individuals with eye conditions by supplement manufacturers. Public health institutions should pay more attention to dietary guidelines for those with eye diseases and disorders.

4.1. Practical Implications

Detailed characteristics of eye care behaviors in a nationwide sample of adults in Poland presented in this study may pose a basis for eye care programs and eye health strategies. Scientific data are necessary to implement evidence-based public interventions aimed at eye care. As eye health was missed in the national health strategy in Poland, this study may inform policymakers about the self-prevention methods implemented by adults in Poland. Moreover, socioeconomic characteristics and factors associated with eye care behaviors presented in this study may contribute to the current state of knowledge of health inequalities in Poland. The rapid aging of the Polish population and the increase in the number of mobile device users [25] may lead to a growing burden of eye disease and

vision impairment in Poland. Information on eye care behaviors may be used by healthcare workers to educate their patients on eye health.

4.2. Limitations

This is a cross-sectional survey, so we cannot exclude recall bias. Moreover, data were collected using computer-assisted web interviews, so only internet users participated in this study. Nevertheless, the sample size is representative of the general adult population of Poland. Knowledge of eye diseases was self-reported based on a 5-point Likert scale. Further studies should use more advanced research items to provide a more comprehensive analysis. In this study, only ten eye care behaviors were assessed. Further studies should assess eye care behaviors in different subgroups, including patients with chronic eye conditions.

5. Conclusions

This study revealed a low level of implementation of eye care behaviors among adults in Poland. Self-reported eye health knowledge was the most important factor associated with eye care practices, which suggests the need to strengthen eye health competencies in the general population. There were no significant economic or educational barriers in the implementation of eye care behaviors, so education on eye health may be free of socioeconomic barriers. There is an urgent need for eye health education. Public health policies should support workplace eye care programs, as well as the promotion of visual ergonomics in educational institutions.

Author Contributions: A.K.: Conceptualization; Methodology; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Project administration; Writing—original draft; Writing—review & editing, J.P.: Resources; Writing—review & editing; P.T.: Conceptualization; Writing—review & editing; I.W.-W.: Conceptualization; Writing—review & editing; M.J.: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Writing—review & editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee at the Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education (Warsaw, Poland), approval number: 154/2022.

Informed Consent Statement: Participation in this study was voluntary, and informed consent was collected.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. World Report on Vision; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2019.
- 2. Trott, M.; Smith, L.; Veronese, N.; Pizzol, D.; Barnett, Y.; Gorely, T.; Pardhan, S. Eye disease and mortality, cognition, disease, and modifiable risk factors: An umbrella review of meta-analyses of observational studies. *Eye* **2022**, *36*, 369–378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Flaxman, S.R.; Bourne, R.R.A.; Resnikoff, S.; Ackland, P.; Braithwaite, T.; Cicinelli, M.V.; Das, A.; Jonas, J.B.; Keeffe, J.; Kempen, J.H.; et al. Vision Loss Expert Group of the Global Burden of Disease Study. Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990–2020: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob. Health* 2017, 5, e1221–e1234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Singh, M.; Tyagi, S.C. Genes and genetics in eye diseases: A genomic medicine approach for investigating hereditary and inflammatory ocular disorders. *Int. J. Ophthalmol.* **2018**, *11*, 117–134. [PubMed]
- 5. Broadhead, G.K.; Hong, T.; Bahrami, B.; Flood, V.; Liew, G.; Chang, A.A. Diet and risk of visual impairment: A review of dietary factors and risk of common causes of visual impairment. *Nutr. Rev.* **2021**, *79*, 636–650. [CrossRef]
- 6. Hobday, K.; Ramke, J.; du Toit, R. Eye health promotion in Western Pacific island countries. *Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **2011**, 39, 584–585. [CrossRef]
- 7. Nita, M.; Grzybowski, A. Smoking and Eye Pathologies. A Systemic Review. Part I. Anterior Eye Segment Pathologies. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2017**, 23, 629–638.
- 8. Lawrenson, J.G.; Downie, L.E. Nutrition and Eye Health. Nutrients 2019, 11, 2123. [CrossRef]

- 9. Merle, B.M.J.; Moreau, G.; Ozguler, A.; Srour, B.; Cougnard-Grégoire, A.; Goldberg, M.; Zins, M.; Delcourt, C. Unhealthy behaviours and risk of visual impairment: The CONSTANCES population-based cohort. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 6569. [CrossRef]
- 10. Łatka, P.; Nowakowska, D.; Nowomiejska, K.; Rejdak, R. Adverse effect of UV radiation on eyes—A review. *Ophthalmol. J.* **2018**, *3*, 63–67. [CrossRef]
- 11. Yam, J.C.; Kwok, A.K. Ultraviolet light and ocular diseases. Int. Ophthalmol. 2014, 34, 383-400. [CrossRef]
- 12. Backes, C.; Religi, A.; Moccozet, L.; Behar-Cohen, F.; Vuilleumier, L.; Bulliard, J.L.; Vernez, D. Sun exposure to the eyes: Predicted UV protection effectiveness of various sunglasses. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* **2019**, 29, 753–764. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Holden, B.A.; Fricke, T.R.; Wilson, D.A.; Jong, M.; Naidoo, K.S.; Sankaridurg, P.; Wong, T.Y.; Naduvilath, T.J.; Resnikoff, S. Global Prevalence of Myopia and High Myopia and Temporal Trends from 2000 through 2050. *Ophthalmology* **2016**, 123, 1036–1042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Williams, R.; Bakshi, S.; Ostrin, E.J.; Ostrin, L.A. Continuous Objective Assessment of Near Work. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 6901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Dirani, M.; Crowston, J.G.; Wong, T.Y. From reading books to increased smart device screen time. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **2019**, 103, 1–2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Huang, H.M.; Chang, D.S.; Wu, P.C. The Association between Near Work Activities and Myopia in Children—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0140419. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kirag, N.; Temel, A.B. The effect of an eye health promotion program on the health protective behaviors of primary school students. *J. Educ. Health Promot.* **2018**, *7*, 37.
- 18. Hubley, J.; Gilbert, C. Eye health promotion and the prevention of blindness in developing countries: Critical issues. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **2006**, *90*, 279–284. [CrossRef]
- 19. Alamri, A.; Amer, K.A.; Aldosari, A.A.; Althubait, B.M.S.; Alqahtani, M.S.; Al Mudawi, A.A.M.; Al Mudawi, B.A.M.; Alqahtani, F.A.M.; Alhamoud, N.S.Y. Computer vision syndrome: Symptoms, risk factors, and practices. *J. Fam. Med. Prim. Care* **2022**, *11*, 5110–5115.
- 20. Kyriakaki, E.D.; Symvoulakis, E.K.; Chlouverakis, G.; Detorakis, E.T. Causes, occupational risk and socio-economic deter-minants of eye injuries: A literature review. *Med. Pharm. Rep.* **2021**, *94*, 131–144.
- 21. Yang, Y.J.; Lee, W.Y.; Kim, Y.J.; Hong, Y.P. A Meta-Analysis of the Efficacy of Hyaluronic Acid Eye Drops for the Treatment of Dry Eye Syndrome. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 2383. [CrossRef]
- 22. Stellwagen, A.; MacGregor, C.; Kung, R.; Konstantopoulos, A.; Hossain, P. Personal hygiene risk factors for contact lens-related microbial keratitis. *BMJ Open Ophthalmol.* **2020**, *5*, e000476. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Nationwide Research Panel Ariadna. about Us. Available online: https://panelariadna.com/ (accessed on 25 December 2022).
- Statistics Poland. Demographic Yearbook of Poland 2022. Available online: https://stat.gov.pl/en/topics/statistical-yearbooks/ statistical-yearbooks/demographic-yearbook-of-poland-2022,3,16.html (accessed on 25 December 2022).
- 25. Żarnowski, A.; Jankowski, M.; Gujski, M. Use of Mobile Apps and Wearables to Monitor Diet, Weight, and Physical Activity: A Cross-Sectional Survey of Adults in Poland. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **2022**, *28*, e937948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Furman, F.M.; Zgliczyński, W.S.; Jankowski, M.; Baran, T.; Szumowski, Ł.; Pinkas, J. The State of Vaccine Confidence in Poland: A 2019 Nationwide Cross-Sectional Survey. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 4565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Wang, Y.; Lou, L.; Cao, J.; Shao, J.; Ye, J. Socio-economic disparity in global burden of near vision loss: An analysis for 2017 with time trends since 1990. *Acta Ophthalmol.* **2020**, *98*, e138–e143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. O'Conor, R.; Moore, A.; Wolf, M.S. Health Literacy and Its Impact on Health and Healthcare Outcomes. *Stud. Health Technol. Inform.* **2020**, 269, 3–21. [PubMed]
- 29. McKee, C.; Hedge, A. Ergonomic lighting considerations for the home office workplace. Work 2022, 71, 335–343. [CrossRef]
- 30. Wang, B.; Liu, Y.; Qian, J.; Parker, S.K. Achieving Effective Remote Working during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Work Design Perspective. *Appl. Psychol.* **2021**, *70*, 16–59. [CrossRef]
- 31. Palacios, J.; Eichholtz, P.; Kok, N. Moving to productivity: The benefits of healthy buildings. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0236029. [CrossRef]
- 32. Twenge, J.M.; Campbell, W.K. Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents: Evidence from a population-based study. *Prev. Med. Rep.* **2018**, *12*, 271–283. [CrossRef]
- 33. Rawstron, J.A.; Burley, C.D.; Elder, M.J. A systematic review of the applicability and efficacy of eye exercises. *J. Pediatr. Ophthalmol. Strabismus* **2005**, 42, 82–88. [CrossRef]
- 34. Labetoulle, M.; Benitez-Del-Castillo, J.M.; Barabino, S.; Herrero Vanrell, R.; Daull, P.; Garrigue, J.S.; Rolando, M. Artificial Tears: Biological Role of Their Ingredients in the Management of Dry Eye Disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 2434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Lurati, A.R. Menopause and Dry Eye Syndrome. Nurs. Womens Health 2019, 23, 71–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. de Paiva, C.S. Effects of Aging in Dry Eye. Int. Ophthalmol. Clin. 2017, 57, 47–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Francisco, S.G.; Smith, K.M.; Aragonès, G.; Whitcomb, E.A.; Weinberg, J.; Wang, X.; Bejarano, E.; Taylor, A.; Rowan, S. Dietary Patterns, Carbohydrates, and Age-Related Eye Diseases. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 2862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Johra, F.T.; Bepari, A.K.; Bristy, A.T.; Reza, H.M. A Mechanistic Review of β-Carotene, Lutein, and Zeaxanthin in Eye Health and Disease. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 1046. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 39. Li, L.H.; Lee, J.C.; Leung, H.H.; Lam, W.C.; Fu, Z.; Lo, A.C.Y. Lutein Supplementation for Eye Diseases. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 1721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Gilbert, R.; Peto, T.; Lengyel, I.; Emri, E. Zinc Nutrition and Inflammation in the Aging Retina. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2019**, 63, e1801049. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.